CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP

ONE LIBERTY PLAZA
NEW YORK, NY 10006-1470
(212) 225-2000
FACSIMILE (212) 225-3999
WWW CLEARYGOTTLIEB COM

WASHINGTON, DC · PARIS · BRUSSELS
LONDON · MOSCOW · FRANKFURT · COLOGNE
ROME · MILAN · HONG KONG · TOKYO

Writer's Direct Dial. (212) 225-2840 E-Mail. lfriedman@CGSH.com ROGER W. THOMAS
MARK A. WALKER
LESLIE B. SAMUELS
ALLAN G. SPERLING
MAX GITTER
EVALUATE
EVALUATE
EVALUATE
LEST B. SELVERMAN
ROGERT I. DOTFORFIELLO
A RICHARD SUKNO
STEPPHEN N. SHALEN
LEE C. BUCHHEIT
JAMES M. PERSLEE
THOMAS J. MOLONEY
EOWARD J. KEINBARD
JONATHAN I. BLACKMAN
WILLIAM F. GORIN
MICHAEL L. RYAN
ROBERT I. DAVIS
YARON Z. REICH
RICHARD S. UNCER
JAIME A. EL. KOURY
STEVEN M. GORIN
MICHAEL S. STERNBERG
DONALD A. STERN
CRAIG B. BROD
STEVEN G. NETER
WANDA J. JOLSON
MICHAEL S. STERNBERG
DONALD A. STERN
CRAIG B. BROD
SHELDON H. ALSTER
WANDA J. JOLSON
MICHAEL L. OWENTHAL
DEBORAH M. BUELL
EDWAND J. ROSEN

NILDLAS GARBAN
CHRISTOPHER E. AUSTIN
SETH GROSSHANDLER
JANET L. PISHEMAN
NOWARD S. ZELBD
DAVID E. BRODSKY
ARTHUB H KOHN
AN DEMEL
RAYMOND B. CHECK
RICHARD J. COOPER
JEFFREY S. LEWIS
PAUL J. SHIM
YVETTE P. TEOFAN
STEVEN L. WILNER
ERIKA W. NIJEMHUIS
LINDSEE P. GRANFIELD
DAVID C. LOPEZ
CAPMEN A. CORRALES
JAMES L. BROMLEY
JERGREY D. WILNER
LEWIS J. LIMAN
NICHAEL D. WEINDERGER
DAVID LEINWAND
JEFFREY A. ROSENTHAL
ETHAN A. KLINGSBERG
MICHAEL D. ROSENTHAL
ETHAN A. KLINGSBERG
MICHAEL D. DAYAN
CARMING D. BOXCUZI, JR.
JEFFREY A. KARPF

KIMBERLY BROWN BLACKLOW
ROBERT J RAYMOND
DAVID I GENERAL
BENNIFER TKER
BENNIFER TKER
SANDRA L FLOW
FRANCISCESTERD
DANG OF ILESCESTERD
SAND JIN HAND
WILLIAM L MCRAE
JASDN FACTOR
MARGARET E. STOWERS
LISA M SCHWEITZER
KRISTOFER HESS
GAMAL M. ABOUALI
RESIDENT FARTHERS

SANDRA M. ROCKS
ELLEN M. CREEDE
S DOUGLAS BORISKY

SANDRA M. ROCKS
ELLEN M. CREEDE
S DOUGLAS BORISKY
JOURLAS BORISKY
JOURLAS BORISKY
DAVID E. WEBB
DEBORAH E. KURITZBERG
PENELOPE L. CHRISTOPHOROU
BOAZ S. MORAG
MARY E. ALCOCK
GABRIEL J. MESA
DAVID H. HERRINGTON
MARK A. ADAMS
HEIDE H. ILGEMFRITZ
GEOFFREY B. GOLDMAN
DAVID S. BERG
RESIDENT COUNSEL

January 31, 2006

BY ECF AND BY HAND

Honorable Charles P. Sifton United States District Judge United States Courthouse 225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201 Honorable Nina Gershon United States District Judge United States Courthouse 225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201

Re: Weiss et al. v. National Westminster Bank Plc, 05-CV-4622 (CPS) (KAM)

Dear Judge Sifton and Judge Gershon:

This firm is counsel to defendant National Westminster Bank Plc ("NatWest"), the sole defendant in the above-referenced matter. Last Friday night, we received from counsel to Arab Bank plc ("Arab Bank") a "courtesy" copy of motion papers Arab Bank apparently filed in seven other lawsuits solely against Arab Bank that are currently pending before Judge Gershon – the Linde, Litle, Coulter, Almog, Afriat-Kurtzer, Bennett and Roth lawsuits. In these motion papers, Arab Bank asks Judge Gershon, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a), to withdraw the Weiss lawsuit against NatWest from Judge Sifton's docket, where it has been pending since last September, on the ground that it purportedly is related to the seven lawsuits against Arab Bank, certain of which were filed in 2004, and then to consolidate all eight lawsuits on Judge Gershon's docket. In making this motion, Arab Bank is grossly misusing Rule 42(a) in an attempt to circumvent this Court's rules, Judge Sifton's jurisdiction and the ability of NatWest, which is not a party to the lawsuits in which Arab Bank made its motion, to oppose the relief Arab Bank seeks. For all of the following reasons, the Court should strike Arab Bank's motion.

First, Rule 42(a) does not permit one judge, under the guise of a consolidation request, to withdraw a pending lawsuit from another judge's docket. Rule 42(a) instead allows a judge to consolidate two or more lawsuits that are pending before that single judge. 8 James Wm. Moore et al., Moore's Federal Practice § 42.11 (3d ed. 2005).

Honorable Charles P. Sifton Honorable Nina Gershon, p. 2

Second, the relief Arab Bank seeks – in effect, the reassignment of the Weiss lawsuit from Judge Sifton to Judge Gershon on the false premise that the Weiss lawsuit is so related to the seven pending lawsuits against Arab Bank as to justify that relief – is instead governed by Rule 50.3(f) of this Court's Rules for the Division of Business Among District Judges. Rule 50.3(f) provides in pertinent part as follows:

The failure to assign related cases appropriately shall be corrected only by agreement of all the judges to whom the related cases are assigned; if they agree, they may transfer the later-filed cases as provided in paragraph (e), and notify the clerk of that action.

Hence, Arab Bank could obtain the relief it seeks only by agreement of both Judge Sifton and Judge Gershon, and not by order of Judge Gershon alone, pursuant to a motion made only in the cases assigned to her.

If Arab Bank continues to desire the reassignment of the Weiss lawsuit from Judge Sifton to Judge Gershon, it should file a proper request for that relief under Rule 50.3(f) of this Court's Rules for the Division of Business Among District Judges. If Arab Bank will not withdraw its improper Rule 42(a) motion, the Court should strike it.

Arab Bank's motion also is substantively meritless. None of the relevant considerations favors either reassigning the Weiss lawsuit or consolidating it with the seven lawsuits against Arab Bank. Instead, Arab Bank appears to be seeking this relief solely to gain a perceived tactical benefit to which it is not entitled, by dragging another defendant, against which it asserts no claim, into the lawsuits against it. The Weiss lawsuit was filed more than four months ago, and has already been the subject of two dismissal motions by NatWest, the first of which caused plaintiffs to file an amended complaint. NatWest's motion seeking the dismissal of that amended complaint is currently pending before Judge Sifton. Moreover, the factual allegations against Arab Bank, and therefore the purported bases for its liability, are materially different from the accusations against NatWest. Accordingly, consolidation of all of these lawsuits is not warranted, and would prejudice NatWest. We will address these points more fully if Arab Bank files a proper reassignment request in accordance with the Court's rules.

Respectfully.

Lawrence B. Friedman

Runere Fried

cc: All counsel in the Arab Bank and Weiss lawsuits (by facsimile and regular mail)